


Unveiling the Omissions and Distortions in the 9/11 Commission Report: A Comprehensive Analysis

Executive Summary

The 9/11 Commission Report, published in 2004, has been the subject of extensive scrutiny and debate. While it has been widely praised for its comprehensive investigation into the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001, concerns have been raised regarding certain omissions and distortions within the report.

This article delves into the specific areas where the 9/11 Commission Report has been found to lack accuracy and completeness. Through a critical examination of evidence, expert testimony, and independent investigations, we present a detailed analysis of the omissions and distortions that have shaped the official narrative surrounding the attacks.



The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions And Distortions by David Ray Griffin

★★★★☆ 4.7 out of 5

Language : English

File size : 3578 KB

Text-to-Speech: Enabled

Screen Reader: Supported

Word Wise : Enabled

Print length : 440 pages

Lending : Enabled

FREE

DOWNLOAD E-BOOK



I. Omissions in the 9/11 Commission Report

A. Failure to Investigate the Role of Foreign Intelligence Agencies

One of the most glaring omissions in the 9/11 Commission Report is its failure to thoroughly investigate the potential involvement of foreign intelligence agencies in the attacks.

Despite credible allegations that Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, and other countries may have knowingly supported or facilitated the hijackers, the Commission largely dismissed these claims as unsubstantiated.

This omission has raised concerns that the Commission may have been influenced by political considerations to avoid damaging relationships with foreign allies.

B. Lack of Scrutiny of the Bush Administration's Pre-9/11 Actions

The Commission's report also failed to adequately scrutinize the actions of the Bush administration leading up to the attacks.

Evidence suggests that the administration had received numerous warnings about the possibility of an imminent terrorist attack, but allegedly failed to take appropriate action.

The Commission's failure to hold the administration accountable for its potential negligence has cast doubt on the report's impartiality.

C. Dismissal of Whistleblower Testimonies

The 9/11 Commission Report largely dismissed the testimonies of whistleblowers who provided evidence that contradicted the official narrative.

For example, Sibel Edmonds, a former FBI translator, alleged that the agency possessed foreknowledge of the attacks but failed to act. Her claims were dismissed by the Commission without thorough investigation.

This omission has raised concerns that the Commission was biased against those who challenged the government's account of events.

II. Distortions in the 9/11 Commission Report

A. Mischaracterization of the Collapse of World Trade Center Building 7

One of the most significant distortions in the 9/11 Commission Report is its mischaracterization of the collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 (WTC 7).

The report claims that WTC 7 collapsed due to structural damage caused by the collapse of the adjacent towers, a claim that has been widely disputed by experts.

Evidence suggests that WTC 7 collapsed in a manner consistent with controlled demolition, leading to suspicions of foul play.

B. Suppression of Evidence Regarding the Pentagon Attack

The 9/11 Commission Report also suppressed evidence regarding the nature of the attack on the Pentagon.

Eyewitness accounts and physical evidence suggest that the damage to the Pentagon was not consistent with the impact of a Boeing 757 airplane, leading to theories that an alternative aircraft or missile may have been involved.

The Commission's failure to fully investigate these claims has raised suspicions of a cover-up.

C. Distortion of the Timeline of the Attacks

The 9/11 Commission Report has been accused of distorting the timeline of the attacks to support the official narrative.

Evidence suggests that the first airplane did not strike the World Trade Center at 8:46 AM as claimed by the Commission, but rather around 8:40 AM.

This discrepancy raises questions about the accuracy of the government's timeline and the potential manipulation of evidence.


III.

The omissions and distortions within the 9/11 Commission Report have cast a shadow of doubt over the official account of the terrorist attacks.

The failure to investigate foreign involvement, the lack of scrutiny of the Bush administration, and the suppression of evidence have raised serious questions about the impartiality and credibility of the Commission.

In light of these concerns, a comprehensive and independent re-investigation into the events of 9/11 is urgently needed to reveal the full truth and prevent future tragedies.

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of any organization or institution.



The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions And Distortions

by David Ray Griffin

★★★★☆ 4.7 out of 5

Language : English

File size : 3578 KB

Text-to-Speech: Enabled

Screen Reader: Supported

Word Wise : Enabled


Print length : 440 pages

Lending : Enabled



Musorgsky and His Circle: A Russian Musical Revolution

Modest Mussorgsky was a Russian composer who played a pivotal role in the development of Russian classical music. He was a member of the "Mighty Handful," a group of...



Ranking the 80s with Bill Carroll: A Nostalgic Journey Through Iconic Pop Culture

Prepare to embark on a captivating expedition through the vibrant and unforgettable era of the 1980s. Join renowned pop culture expert Bill Carroll as he expertly ranks...

